

Appendix 3

Consultation Draft Site Development Brief Land adjoining former HM Stanley Hospital, St Asaph 16th September 2015

Equality Impact Assessment

Consultation Draft Site Development Brief – Land adjoining former HM Stanley Hospital, St. Asaph

Contact: Angela Loftus, Planning & Public Protection

Service

Updated: 16/09/15

1. What type of proposal / decision is being assessed?

A new or revised policy

2. What is the purpose of this proposal / decision, and what change (to staff or the community) will occur as a result of its implementation?

The proposal is to seek approval from Planning Committee to carry out public consultation on a draft Site Development Brief for two housing allocations adjoining the former HM Stanley Hospital in St Asaph. If adopted, following public consultation, this will have the status of Supplementary Planning Guidance and will be used in the determination of planning applications on these allocations.

3. Does this proposal / decision require an equality impact assessment? If no, please explain why.

Please note: if the proposal will have an impact on people (staff or the community) then an equality impact assessment <u>must</u> be undertaken

The proposal is to to carry out public consultation on planning guidance relating to development of two allocated housing sites. The sites were allocated in the Denbighshire Local Development Plan. The content of the Site Development Brief therefore does not set policy but merely provides additional explanation and guidance for Members, Officers and developers regarding site contraints and the principles of development for the site. The LDP underwent a full EqIA prior to adoption in 2013.

4. Please provide a summary of the steps taken, and the information used, to carry out this assessment, including any engagement undertaken

(Please refer to section 1 in the toolkit for guidance)

The Denbighshire Local Development Plan (LDP) is the overarching policy document under which all Supplementary Planning Guidance and Site Development Briefs sit and this underwent an EqIA prior to adoption in 2013.

5. Will this proposal / decision have a positive impact on any of the protected characteristics (age; disability; gender-reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; and sexual orientation)? (Please refer to section 1 in the toolkit for a description of the protected characteristics)

No		

6. Will this proposal / decision have a disproportionate negative impact on any of the protected characteristics (age; disability; gender-reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; and sexual orientation)?

No		

7. Has the proposal / decision been amended to eliminate or reduce any potential disproportionate negative impact? If no, please explain why.

No	Not required

8. Have you identified any further actions to address and / or monitor any potential negative impact(s)?

	Al a P I
NO	Not required
110	1 Not required

Action(s)	Owner	By when?

9. Declaration

Every reasonable effort has been made to eliminate or reduce any potential disproportionate impact on people sharing protected characteristics. The actual impact of the proposal / decision will be reviewed at the appropriate stage.

Review Date: January 2016

Name of Lead Officer for Equality Impact Assessment	Date
Angela Loftus	16.09.15

Please	note you w	ill be requ	uired to p	ublish the	outco	me of the equali	ty impact
	asses	sment if v	ou identi ⁱ	fv a subst	antial I	ikely impact.	